

Primljeno 07-11-2024  
 Kl. ozn. 643-03/24-06/2  
 Ur. br. 141-01-24-061  
 Org. jed. 01

## MENTOR'S REPORT

NAME OF POSTGRADUATE UNIVERSITY STUDY
Post graduate Doctoral study in business economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Rijeka

MENTOR	
Mentor	
Professor Joydeep Bhattacharya	Goldsmiths, University of London
Co-mentor	
Izv. prof. dr. sc. Jasmina Dlačić	Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Rijeka
Name and surname of the student	
Nada Kaurin Knežević	
Student ID number	
--	
Reporting period	
09/2023-09/2024	

PROGRESS IN STUDIES		
Has a work plan been developed and is the student making progress according to that plan? (please tick the box)		
Made a work plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> no
Made progress towards the work plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> no
If you selected "no" in the previous question, explain why and suggest possible improvements.		
On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the quality of the student's research dissertation progress. (since last report)		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 2 - sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 3 - good <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 - excellent		
If you selected 1 or 2 in the previous question, explain and suggest possible improvements.		
Comment on the student's progress since the last report		

STUDENT EVALUATION

Please rate on a scale from 1 to 5:  
(1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent)

Student's readiness for consultations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	1	2	3	4	5
Planning and execution of annual research activities and professional development	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	1	2	3	4	5
Progress in mastering scientific research methodology	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	1	2	3	4	5
Writing and publishing scientific papers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	1	2	3	4	5
Doctoral student's attitude towards their studies in general	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	1	2	3	4	5

On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall quality of the doctoral dissertation.

1 - insufficient  2 - sufficient  3 - good  4 - very good  5 - excellent

If you selected "2" in the previous question, explain why and suggest possible improvements.  
If the overall quality of the doctoral student's dissertation is rated as insufficient (1), the Expert Council of their study programme holder will decide upon intensified monitoring or a decision on unsuccessful completion of their studies.

Comment on the overall quality of the student's doctoral dissertation

Student has successfully finished scientific workshop cycle and presented pilot research results at PhD conference in June 2024. Also, student has passed two PhD courses and successfully presented her research on 26<sup>th</sup> CROMAR conference in October 2024.

OPINION ON THE CAPACITY OF THE CANDIDATE FOR CONTINUATION OF STUDIES

Can the student continue their studies?  Yes.  
Can the student continue their studies?  Yes, subject to certain conditions.  
Can the student continue their studies?  No.



If you selected b) or c) in the previous question, please explain.

Other notes and opinions of the mentor (if necessary)

Place, date, signature

In London/Rijeka, October 19, 2024

Signature

	 Professor Joydeep Bhattacharya
	Signature  Izv. prof. dr. sc. Jasmina Dlačić